

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/474,435	12/28/1999	Yongwei Cao	16517.044/15473B	2233	
28381 ARNOLD & PO	7590 03/20/2007 ORTER LLP		EXAMINER		
ATTN: IP DOC	CKETING DEPT.		SALMON, KATHERINE D		
555 TWELFTH STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20004-1206			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER .	
	•		1634		
,					
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS		03/20/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	·					
Office Action Summan		09/474,435	CAO ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Katherine Salmon	1634			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 December 2006.					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4) 🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,6-8,12-14,19-21,24-28 and 32-38</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		·			
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.		•			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>2, 6-8, 12-14, 19-21, 24-28, 32-38</u> are	subject to restriction and/or elec	tion requirement.			
Application Papers						
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
			,			
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application						
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	• •			

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claims 2, 6-8, 12-14, 19-21, 24-28, and 32-38 are pending. Claims 1, 3-5, 9-11, 15-18, 22-23, 29-31, and 39-59 have been cancelled.
- 2. In the reply to the notice of noncompliance filed 12/14/2006, Group I drawn to nucleic acid molecule, transformed cell, collection of non-identical oligonucleotides and a primer pair was elected along with SEQ ID No. 5272. After further review, however, a further restriction of Group I should have been made in the original restriction requirement mailed 7/26/2006. Therefore the restriction requirement mailed 7/26/2006 is withdrawn and a new restriction requirement for the amended claims is set forth below.

Election/Restrictions

- 3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 19-21 and 24-26, drawn to a transformed cell, classified in class 435, subclass 325.
 - II. Claims 19-21 and 24-26, drawn to an organism, classified in class 800, subclass 8.
 - III. Claims 19-21 and 24-26, drawn to a transformed plant, classified in class800, subclass 295.
- 4. Claims 2, 6-8, 12-14, 27-28, and 32-38 links Claims 19-21 and 24-26. The restriction requirement between the linked inventions is **subject to** the nonallowance of

Application/Control Number: 09/474,435

Art Unit: 1634

the linking claim(s), claims 2, 6-8, 12-14, 27-28, and 32-38.

Applicant(s) are advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, the allowable linking claim, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 443 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

5. Inventions I-III are directed to distinct products. The inventions as claimed are directed to transformed cells, organism cells, or plants wherein each of these has a different mode or operation and function. The use of each of these has different steps and different functional effects. Each transformation involves a different step for the nucleic acid that is transformed into the cells or plants. Searching for transformed plants would not necessarily give art related to transformed cells. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.

Because Inventions I-III are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for one group is not required by the other group, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/474,435

Art Unit: 1634

ELECTION OF SPECIES

6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: plant cell, plant, mammalian cell, mammal, fish cell, fish, bird cell, bird, bacterial cell, and fungal cell. The species are independent or distinct because each species is a distinct product which has different modes of operation and function wherein the search for each would not necessarily provide descriptive information on the other species.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Claims 19, 21, 24, and 26 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/474,435 Page 5

Art Unit: 1634

7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given about and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 8. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 9. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

Art Unit: 1634

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Katherine Salmon whose telephone number is (571) 272-3316. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8AM-430PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ram Shukla can be reached on (571) 272-0735. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Katherine Salmon

Examiner Art Unit 1634 CARLA J. MYERS